

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/497,9	957 02/04	/00 THOMAS	ы	8907-087-99	
		HM22/0716	EXAMINER		
020583 PENNIE 4	AND EDMONDS		TUNG, M		
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	NY 10036-	2711			
			1644		
			DATE MAILED:		
				07/16/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

PTO-90C (Rev.11/00) 1- File Copy



Application No. 09/497.957

Applicant(s)

Examiner Mary B. Tung Thomas, et al.

Art Unit
1644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspond nce address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
- be considered timely.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

-4	

1) 🗓	Responsive to	communication(s) filed on <u>Apr 3</u>	30, 2001			
2a) 🗌	This action is	FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	s action is non-fin	al.		
3) 🗌					nal matters, prosecu 5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G.:	tion as to the merits is 213.	
Dispos	ition of Claim	s				4	
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1					is/are pending in the ap	plica
	4a) Of the abov	ve, claim(s)				is/are withdrawn from con	sidera
5) 🗌	Claim(s)					is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1					is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)					is/are objected to	
8) 🗌	Claims	<u> </u>			are subject t	to restriction and/or election re	quiren
Applic	ation Papers						
9) 🗆	The specificati	ion is objected to	by the Examiner.				
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on		_ is/are objected t	o by the Examiner.		
11) 🗌	The proposed	drawing correction	n filed on		_ is: a∏ approved	b) disapproved.	
12) 🗌	The oath or de	eclaration is objec	ted to by the Exa	iminer.			
13) 🗌		•	•	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	:	
	1. Certified	copies of the prior	rity documents h	nave been receive	ed.		
	2. Certified	copies of the prior	rity documents h	nave been receive	d in Application No.		
*\$	· ap	of the certified cop plication from the didetailed Office a	International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 1		is National Stage	
				•	35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachn	nent(s)						
15) X N	otice of References Ci	ited (PTO-892)		18) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s).	

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

20) Other:

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial Number: 09/497,957 Page 2

Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- Applicant has further elected, in Paper No. 4, the species of SEQ ID NO: 3. Claim 1 is readable on the elected species. Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of a non-elected embodiment, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Title

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly
indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested:
"Hereditary Chromatosis Nucleic Acid".

Specification

- 4. The use of the trademarks such as "MCVECTOR," page 32, line 27 and "GENEAMP," page 73, line 6, and so on, of the specification has been noted in this application. They should be capitalized wherever they appear and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the propriety nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.
- Each letter of the trademarks must be capitalized. See MPEP 608.01(V) and Appendix
 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

Serial Number: 09/497,957 Art Unit 1644

 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

8. Claim 1 is indefinite in the recitation of "nucleic acid seequences corresponding to...". This phrase renders the claim indefinite since it is unclear to what degree of openess the Applicants intend. It is suggested that in order to overcome this rejection, that the Applicants amend the claim to include more traditional phrases such as "comprising" or "consisting of".

Double Patenting

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R. 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 C.F.R. 3.73(b).

- Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 1 of U. S. Patent No. 5,872,237.
- 11. The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The instant claim 1(b) recites an isolated nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 3, which is encompassed by claim 1 of the '237 patent which recites a nucleic acid sequence which comprises SEQ ID NO: 20. SEQ ID NO: 20 has 100% identity over the entire length of SEQ ID NO: 3 of the instant application.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. Hashimoto, et al. (Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 230:35-39, 1997) disclose the

Serial Number: 09/497,957

Art Unit 1644

identification of a mouse homolog for the human hereditary haemochromatosis candidate gene. Camaschella, et al. (Haematologica 82:77-84, 1997) teach a gene linked to hereditary hemochromatosis.

- 13. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which Applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 14. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1640 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). THE CM1 FAX CENTER TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Mary Tung whose telephone number is (703)308-9344. The Examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm and on alternating Mondays. A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1640 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

July 13, 2001 Mary B. Tung, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1640

MARY BETH TUNG, PH.I. PATENT EXAMINER

May Bet des